## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Hubert Baumgart

Serial No.: 10/541,530

Filed: July 11, 2005

For

MULTI-COMPONENT SYSTEM AND THE USE THEREOF FOR PRODUCING A TRIPLE CURE MIXTURE WHICH CAN BE HARDENED THERMALLY AND BY MEANS OF ACTINIC

RADIATION

Group Art Unit:

1796

Examiner: Noah S. Frank

Confirmation No.: 4046

I hereby certify that the attached correspondence is being submitted by EFS-Web as an efiled Response, addressed to Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on September 9, 2008.

/ChristineMDavenport/ Christine M. Davenport

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

## REMARKS

This is in response to the Advisory Office Action of August 21, 2008, supplemental to Applicants' Amendment of August 11, 2008. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Applicant's arguments in the Amendment of August 11, 2008 remain unanswered for the most part. "Where the applicant traverses any rejection, the examiner should, if he or she repeats the rejection, take note of the applicants' argument and answer the substance of it." MPEP 707.07(f). The Advisory Action of August 21, 2008 raised a few relatively minor issues which are addressed herein.

The Examiner contends, in the Advisory Action, that Applicants argued that Nienhaus failed to show component 1-C, the amino resin. Applicants, however, on page 10, third full paragraph, through page 11, line 13, argued that Neinhaus teaches a